

FURTHER RESULTS ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY B-ALGEBRAS

Mohamed E. Elnair^{1,2}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Tabuk, P.O. Box 741, Tabuk 71491, Saudi Arabia

²Department of Mathematics and Physics, Gezira University, P. O. Box 20, Sudan

ABSTRACT. *In the present paper, further results on intuitionistic fuzzy B-algebras are studied, and some new examples are constructed. Firstly, several properties of intuitionistic fuzzy subalgebras of B-algebras are investigated. Furthermore, the notion of an intuitionistic fuzzy normal is defined, and related properties are investigated. Finally, it is proved that every intuitionistic fuzzy normal set in a B-algebra is an intuitionistic fuzzy B-algebra.*

Keywords: B-subalgebra; fuzzy B-algebra; intuitionistic fuzzy B-algebra.

1. INTRODUCTION

BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras are two classes of abstract algebras introduced by Imai and Iséki [1,2]. It is known that the class BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class BCI-algebras. In [3,4], Neggers and Kim introduced a class of algebras, called B-algebra, which is related to several classes of algebras of interest such as BCK/BCI/BCH-algebras. In [5], Kim and Yeom introduced the notion of Quotient B-algebras via fuzzy normal B-algebras. Park and Kim [6] studied quadratic B-algebras. Also, Saeid [7] initiated the concept of interval-valued fuzzy B-algebras and investigated many properties. In [8], Yoon and Kim studied structure of B-algebras for B-homomorphisms and characterized interesting properties. Other related concepts on B-algebras are given in [9,10]. In [1], Zadeh introduced the concept of a fuzzy set, later on, the generalization of the notion of the fuzzy set has been studied and investigated by several researchers. As a follow-up, the idea of an “intuitionistic fuzzy set” is first introduced by Atanassov [12]. In [8], Jun et al. introduced the notion of B-algebras and characterised many properties. Also, several related notions based on fuzzy set theory in different algebras, are given in [13,14,15,16,7,18,19]. Some published papers, connected to the present work, are listed below.

- In [20], Jun et al. applied the notion of fuzzy sets to B-algebras and introduced the notion of fuzzy B-algebras.
- Neggers and Kim studied a fundamental theorem of B-homomorphism for B-algebras in [4].
- In [21], Saeid initiated the notion of fuzzy topological B-algebras and studied related properties.
- In 2011, Senapati et al. represented the concept of fuzzy closed ideals of B-algebras [22] while in 2012, they also gave the notion of fuzzy B-subalgebras of B-algebra with respect to t-norm [23].

Motivated by a lot of work in this direction, in this paper, as a generalization of fuzzy B-algebra, we discuss intuitionistic fuzzy theory applied to B-algebras. We introduce the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy B-algebras, and investigate several properties. We organize this paper as follows: In Section 2, some fundamental notions of B-

algebras are presented. In Section 3, the notion of intuitionistic fuzzy B-algebras is defined, and related properties are investigated with many examples. In the last, we discuss the conclusions of this work with some future directions.

2. Preliminaries

A B-algebra is a nonempty set F with a constant 0 and a binary operation “ $*$ ” satisfying the following axioms:

$$(b1) \varpi * \varpi = 0$$

$$(b2) \varpi * 0 = \varpi$$

$$(b3) (\varpi * \rho) * \nu = \varpi * (\nu * (0 * \rho))$$

for all $\varpi, \rho, \nu \in F$. A nonempty subset N of a B-algebra F is called a B-subalgebra of F if $\varpi * \rho \in N$ for any $\varpi * \rho \in N$. A nonempty subset N of a B-algebra F is said to be normal if $(\varpi * a) * (\rho * b) \in N$ whenever $\varpi * \rho \in N$ and $a * b \in N$. A nonempty subset N of a B-algebra F is said to be nonzero normal if $(\varpi * a) * (\rho * b) \in N$ whenever $\varpi * \rho \in N$ and $a * b \in N$ for all $\varpi * \rho \in F$ and $a (\neq 0), b (\neq 0) \in F$. Note that any normal subset N of B-algebra F is a B-subalgebra of F , but the converse is not true (see [4], Proposition 3.4 and Example 3.5). A nonempty subset N of a B-algebra F is called a (nonzero) normal B-subalgebra of F if it is a B-subalgebra which is (nonzero) normal.

Lemma 2.1. [3] *If F is a B-algebra, then $\varpi * \rho = \varpi * (0 * (0 * \rho))$ for all $\varpi * \rho \in F$.*

Let F be a nonempty set. A map $\Omega : F \rightarrow [0,1]$ is called a fuzzy set in F , and the complement of a fuzzy set Ω in F , denoted by $\overline{\Omega}$, is the fuzzy set in F given by

$$\overline{\Omega}(\varpi) = 1 - \Omega(\varpi) \text{ for all } \varpi \in F.$$

An intuitionistic fuzzy set (IFS, for short) \tilde{X} in a

nonempty set F is an object having the form

$$\tilde{X} = \{(\Omega, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), (\varphi, \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)) : \varpi \in F\}$$

where the functions $\Omega_{\tilde{X}} : F \rightarrow [0,1]$ and

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}} : F \rightarrow [0,1]$$

denote the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively, and

$$0 \leq (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) + \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)) \leq 1, \forall \varpi \in F.$$

An IFS $\tilde{X} = \{(\Omega, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), (\varphi, \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)) : \varpi \in F\}$

in F can be identified to an ordered pair $(\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, (\varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in

$I^F \times I^F$ For the sake of simplicity, we shall use the symbol

$$\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, (\varphi_{\tilde{X}}) \quad \text{for} \quad \text{the} \quad \text{IFS}$$

$$\tilde{X} = \{(\Omega, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), (\varphi, \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)) : \varpi \in F\}$$

Clearly, every fuzzy set Ω in F is an IFS of the form $(\Omega, \overline{\Omega})$.

Definition 2.2.

Let $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ and $\tilde{Y} = (\Omega_{\tilde{Y}}, \varphi_{\tilde{Y}})$ be IFSs in F . Then

- (1) $\tilde{X} \subseteq \tilde{Y}$ iff $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) \leq \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}(\varpi)$ and $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) \leq \varphi_{\tilde{Y}}(\varpi)$ for all $\varpi \in F$,
- (2) $\tilde{X} = \tilde{Y}$ iff $\tilde{X} \subseteq \tilde{Y}$ and $\tilde{Y} \subseteq \tilde{X}$,
- (3) $\overline{\tilde{X}} = (\varphi_{\tilde{X}}, \Omega_{\tilde{X}})$,
- (4) $\tilde{X} \cap \tilde{Y} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}} \vee \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}} \wedge \varphi_{\tilde{Y}})$,
- (5) $\tilde{X} \cup \tilde{Y} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}} \wedge \Omega_{\tilde{Y}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}} \vee \varphi_{\tilde{Y}})$,
- (6) $\Delta \tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \overline{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}})$,
- (7) $\diamond \tilde{X} = (\overline{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$,

One can generalize the operations of intersection and union in Definition 2.2 to arbitrary family of IFSs as follows:

Definition 2.3. (Coker [24]) Let $\tilde{X}_i : i \in J$ be an arbitrary family of IFSs in F . Then

$$\cap \tilde{X}_i = \{(\varpi, \wedge \Omega_{\tilde{X}_i}(\varpi), \vee \varphi_{\tilde{X}_i}(\varpi)) : \varpi \in F,$$

$$\cup \tilde{X}_i = \{(\varpi, \vee \Omega_{\tilde{X}_i}(\varpi), \wedge \varphi_{\tilde{X}_i}(\varpi)) : \varpi \in F,$$

3. Intuitionistic fuzzy B-algebras

In what follows, let F denote a B -algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 3.1. [25] An IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F is called an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra if it satisfies the inequalities

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) \geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\} \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) \leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}$$

for all $\varpi \in F$.

Example 3.2. Let $F = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \hbar\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	α	β	γ	δ	\hbar
0	0	β	α	γ	δ	\hbar
α	α	0	β	δ	\hbar	γ
β	β	α	0	\hbar	γ	δ
γ	γ	δ	\hbar	0	β	α
δ	δ	\hbar	γ	α	0	β
\hbar	\hbar	γ	δ	β	α	0

Then $(F, *, 0)$ is a B -algebra (see [4], Example 3.5). Define an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F by

$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\gamma) = 0.7 > 0.1 = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ and $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\gamma) = 0.2 < 0.5 = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ for all $\varpi \in F \setminus \{0, \gamma\}$. Then $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra.

Proposition 3.3. Every intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra

$\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F satisfies the inequalities

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) \geq \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) \text{ and } \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0) \leq \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) \text{ for all } \varpi \in F.$$

Proof. Since $\varpi * \varpi = 0$ for all $\varpi \in F$, we have

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \varpi) \geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)\} = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \varpi) \leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)\} = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$$

for all $\varpi \in F$. For any elements ϖ and ρ of F , let us write $\varpi^n * \rho$ for $\varpi * (\dots * (\varpi * (\varpi * \rho)))$ where ϖ occurs n times.

Proposition 3.4. Let an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F be an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra and let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, for all $\varpi \in F$,

(i) $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^n * \varpi) \geq \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ and
 $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^n * \varpi) \leq \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ where n is odd,

(ii) $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^n * \varpi) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ and
 $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^n * \varpi) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ where n is even.

Proof. Let $\varpi \in F$ and assume that n is odd. Then $n = 2k - 1$ for some positive integer k . Observe that $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^n * \varpi) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) \geq \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ and $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^n * \varpi) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0) \leq \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ for all $\varpi \in F$.

Suppose that $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k-1} * \varpi) \geq \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ and $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k-1} * \varpi) \leq \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$ for a positive integer k .

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2(k+1)-1} * \varpi) &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k+1} \varpi * \varpi) \\ &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k-1} * \varpi * (\varpi * \varpi)) \\ &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k-1} * (\varpi * 0)) \text{ by (b1)} \\ &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k-1} * \varpi) \text{ by (b2)} \\ &\geq \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2(k+1)-1} * \varpi) &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k+1} \varpi * \varpi) \\ &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k+1} * (\varpi * (\varpi * \varpi))) \\ &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k-1} * (\varpi * 0)) \text{ by (b1)} \\ &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi^{2k-1} * \varpi) \text{ by (b2)} \\ &\leq \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (i). Similarly we have the second part.

Proposition 3.5. If an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra, then

(fB1) $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi) \geq \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)$,

$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi) \leq \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \quad \forall \varpi \in F,$
 (fB2)

$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * (0 * \rho)) \geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}$, and
 $\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * (0 * \rho)) \leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}, \forall \varpi \in F$

Proof. For any, we have $\varpi, \rho \in F$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi) &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)\} = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \\ \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi) &\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi)\} = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \\ \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * (0 * \rho)) &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \rho)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * (0 * \rho)) &\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \rho)\} \\ &\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the results.

Since $\varpi = 0 * (0 * \varpi)$ (see [9], Lemma 3.5), if

$\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra, then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * (0 * \varpi)) \geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi)\} \\ &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi) \end{aligned}$$

and

Hence $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi)$ and

$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \varpi)$ for any $\varpi \in F$.

Theorem 3.6. If an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F satisfies

(fB1) and (fB2), then $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra.

Proof. Assume that an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F satisfies the conditions (fB1) and (fB2) and let $\varpi, \rho \in F$. Using Lemma 2.1, (fB1) and (fB2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * (0 * (0 * \rho))) \\ &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \rho)\} \\ &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * (0 * (0 * \rho))) \\ &\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0 * \rho)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}.$$

Hence $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra.

Definition 3.7. An IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F is said to be intuitionistic fuzzy normal if it satisfies the inequalities

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * a) * (\rho * b) \geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(a * b)\}$$

and

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * a) * (\rho * b) \leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(a * b)\}$$

for all $a, b, \varpi, \rho \in F$. If the elements a and b are

nonzero, then we say that $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is intuitionistic fuzzy nonzero normal

Example 3.8. If we define an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ by

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\beta) = 0.8,$$

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\gamma) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\delta) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\hbar) = 0.3,$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\beta) = 0.1, \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\gamma) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\delta) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\hbar) = 0.6 \text{ in Example 3.2, then}$$

$\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy normal set in F .

Example 3.2. Let $F = \{0, \alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ be a set with the following table:

*	0	α	β	γ
0	0	γ	β	α
α	α	0	γ	β
β	β	α	0	γ
γ	γ	β	α	0

Then $(F, *, 0)$ is a B -algebra (see [20]). If we define an IFS

$\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F by $\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) = 0.7,$

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\beta) = 0.5, \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\gamma) = 0.3,$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0) = 0.2, \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\beta) = 0.4 \text{ and}$$

$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\alpha) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\gamma) = 0.6,$ Then $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is

an intuitionistic fuzzy normal set in F .

Theorem 3.10. Every intuitionistic fuzzy normal set

$\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra.

Proof. For any $\varpi, \rho \in F$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * \rho) * (0 * 0)) \\ &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * 0), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * 0)\} \\ &= \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * \rho) * (\rho * 0)) \\ &\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * 0), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * 0)\} \\ &= \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra.

The converse of Theorem 3.10 is not true. For example, the intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in Example 3.2 is not intuitionistic fuzzy normal, since

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}((\beta * \hbar) * (\delta * \alpha)) &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\beta) < \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\gamma) \\ &= \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\beta * \gamma), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\hbar * \alpha)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.11. An IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F is called an intuitionistic fuzzy (nonzero) normal B -algebra if it is an intuitionistic fuzzy B -algebra which is intuitionistic fuzzy (nonzero) normal.

Example 3.12. The IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ discussed in Examples 3.8 and 3.9 is indeed an intuitionistic fuzzy normal B -algebra.

Proposition 3.13. If an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F is an intuitionistic fuzzy normal B -algebra, then

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi) \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi) \text{ for all } \varpi, \rho \in F.$$

Proof. Let $\varpi, \rho \in F$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * \rho) * 0) \\ &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * \rho) * (\varpi * \varpi)) \\ &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \varpi), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi)\} \\ &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * \rho) * 0) \\ &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * \rho) * (\varpi * \varpi)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \varpi), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi)\} \\ &= \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi)\} \\ &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi). \end{aligned}$$

Interchanging ϖ with ρ we obtain

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi) \geq \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) \text{ and}$$

$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\rho * \varpi) \geq \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho)$, which proves the proposition.

Theorem 3.14. If an IFS $\tilde{X} = (\Omega_{\tilde{X}}, \varphi_{\tilde{X}})$ in F be an intuitionistic fuzzy normal B -algebra. Then the sets

$$F_{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}} := \{\varpi \in F : \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0)\}$$

and

$$F_{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}} := \{\varpi \in F : \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0)\}$$

are normal B -subalgebras of F .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $F_{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}}$ and $F_{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}}$ are normal. Let $a, b, \varpi, \rho \in F$ be such that $\varpi * \rho \in F_{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}}$, $a * b \in F_{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}}$, $\varpi * \rho \in F_{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}}$, and $a * b \in F_{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}}$. Then

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(a * b)$$

and

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(a * b). \text{ It follows that}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * a) * (\rho * b)) &\geq \min\{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho), \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(a * b)\} \\ &= \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * a) * (\rho * b)) &\leq \max\{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}(\varpi * \rho), \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(a * b)\} \\ &= \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Combining Proposition 3.3, we concludes that

$$\Omega_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * a) * (\rho * b)) = \Omega_{\tilde{X}}(0) \text{ and}$$

$$\varphi_{\tilde{X}}((\varpi * a) * (\rho * b)) = \varphi_{\tilde{X}}(0). \text{ which shows that}$$

$$(\varpi * a) * (\rho * b) \in F_{\Omega_{\tilde{X}}} \text{ and}$$

$$(\varpi * a) * (\rho * b) \in F_{\varphi_{\tilde{X}}}. \text{ This concludes the proof.}$$

dfREFERENCES

[1] K. Is'eki, "On BCI-algebras", Math. Seminar Notes 8, 125 – 130(1980)
 [2] K. Is'eki and Tanaka .S, " An introduction to theory of

BCK-algebras", Math. Japonica 23, 1 – 26(1978)
 [3] Neggers .J and Kim .H.S, "On B-algebras", Math. Vensik, 54, 21-29 (2002)
 [4] Neggers J and Kim .H.S, "A fundamental theorem of B-homomorphism for B-algebras", Int. Math. J., 2, 215-219 (2002)
 [5] Kim .Y.H and Yeom,.S.J, Qutient "B-algebras via fuzzy normal B-algebras". Honam Math. J., 30, 21–32 (2008)
 [6] Park .H. K and Kim .H. S, "On quadratic B-algebras", Quasigroups and Related Systems 8, 67–72(2001)
 [7] Saeid .A.B, "Interval-valued fuzzy B-algebras", Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 3(2): 63-73(2006)
 [8] Yoon .D. S and Kim .H. S, "A structure of B-algebras for B-homomorphisms", Intern. Math. Journal 2(6): 569–575 (2002)
 [9] Cho .J. R. and Kim .H. S, "On B-algebras and quasigroups", Quasigroups and Related Systems 8 1–6, (2001),
 [10] Allen .P. J, Neggers. J and Kim .H. S, "B-algebras and groups", Scientiae Mathematicae Japonicae, 9 159–165(2003)
 [11] Zadeh .L. A, "Fuzzy sets", Inform. and Control 8 ,338 – 353 (1965),
 [12] Atanassov, K. T, "Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, in Polish Symp". on Interval & Fuzzy Mathematics, Poznan, 23–26(August 1983)
 [13] Ahn. S.S and Bang, K, "On Fuzzy subalgebras of B-algebras", Commun. Korean Math. Soc., 10(3): 429-437(2003)
 [14] Ejegwa. P. A and Otuwe .J. A., "Fratini fuzzy subgroups of fuzzy groups", Annals of Communication in Mathematics, 2 (1): 24-31(2019).
 [15] Jun .Y. B, Muhiuddin .G, Ozturk .M. A and Roh .E. H, "Cubic soft ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras", Journal of Computational Analysis and Applications, 22(5) : 929-940 (2017)
 [16] Muhiuddin .G and D. Al-Kadi, "Hybrid Quasi-associative ideals in BCI-algebras", International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 16(2): 729–741 (2021)
 [17] Muhiuddin .G, "p-ideals of BCI-algebras based on neutrosophic N-structures", Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems, 40(1): 1097-1105 (2021)
 [18] Muhiuddin .G, Kim.S. J and Jun .Y. B, "Implicative N-ideals of BCK-algebras based on neutrosophic N-structures", Discrete Mathematics Algorithms and Applications 11(1), 1950011 (17 pages) (2019)
 [19] Thongarsa .S, Burandate .P and Iampan .A, "Some operations of fuzzy sets in UPalgebras with respect to a triangular norm", Annals of Communication in Mathematics, 2(1): 1-10 (2019)

- [20] Jun Y.B, Roh. E.H.and H.S. Kim, "On fuzzy B-algebras", Czech. Math. J., 52, 375-384(2002)
- [21] Saeid .A.B, "Fuzzy topological B-algebras", International Journal of Fuzzy Systems, 8(3): 160-164(2006)
- [22] Senapati .T, Bhowmik .M and Pal .M, "Fuzzy closed ideals of B-algebras", International Journal of Computer Science, Engineering and Technology, 1(10): 669-673 (2011)

- [23] Senapati .T, Bhowmik .M and Pal .M, "Fuzzy B-subalgebras of B-algebra with respect to t-norm", Journal of Fuzzy Set Valued Analysis, 2012 11 Pages (2012)
- [24] C, oker .D, "An introduction to intuitionistic fuzzy topological spaces", Fuzzy Sets and Systems 88, 81–89.
- (1997)
- [25] Kim .Y.H and Jeong .T.E, "Intuitionistic fuzzy structure of B-algebras", J. Appl. Math. & Computing 22(1): 491–500 (2006)